

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/718,940	CHALLENGER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Srirama Channavajjala	2166	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Srirama Channavajjala.

 (3) Leslie A. Van Leeuwen, Regd.No. 42,196.

 (2) Joseph T Van Leeuwen, Regd.No. 44,383.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 October 2006
Time: 11.30 AM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-23

Prior art documents discussed:

US Pub.No. 2002/0158899

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

A telephone was made to applicant's representative Attorney, Joseph T. Van Leeuwen, Reg.No. 44,383 about the potential amendment to the claims 1,8,14 and cancelling 2,6,9,13,15,19,21-23, in order to allow the case. The representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has given for an Examiner's Amendment.